

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

JOHN TURNER,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARRESTING OFFICER 5-30-2016, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02413-RFB-VCF

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 14] of the Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered March 14, 2017.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by March 28, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

...

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 14] is  
2       ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3           **IT IS ORDERED** that Counts II and III of Turner's third amended complaint [ECF No.  
4       8] are **DISMISSED**.

5           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Turner may proceed on Count I against Metro Officer  
6       John Doe #1, #2, #3, and Metro Officer #8376.

7           The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff.

8  
9           DATED: April 3, 2017.



---

10           **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
11           **United States District Judge**